

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/714,072	11/16/2000	Michael J. Rieschl	RA 5323 (33012/295/101)	5429
27516	7590 06/19/2006		EXAM	INER
UNISYS CO	RPORATION		VU, NO	ос к
MS 4773				
PO BOX 64942		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST. PAUL, M	N 55164-0942		2623	

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/714,072	RIESCHL ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Ngoc K. Vu	2623
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
Period fo	• •	/ IC CET TO EVEIDE AMONTH!	0) 00 71 110777 (00) 0 4) (0
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ma	arch 2006.	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 and 21-25 is/are pending in the a	application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 and 21-25 is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	. have be an arreland	
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>		on No
	<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ul>	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	application from the International Bureau	•	d in this National Stage
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d.
		·	
Attachment	• •		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary	
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2623

#### Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-10 and 21-25 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Duso (U.S. 5,892,915). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because there are no antecedent basis for the limitations "said user" in line 6 and "said request from said user" in line 12. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-10 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duso et al. (U.S. 5,892,915 A).

Regarding claim 1, Duso teaches in a video on demand system (see figure 2) for supplying video data in response to a user request, the video on demand system comprising: a

plurality of video servers (servers 21) each including a separate hardware and software subsystem and capable of supplying video data to said user (see figure 2; col. 5, line 48 to col. 6, line 14); a temporary memory (i.e., disk array 23) for storage of said video data responsively coupled to each of said plurality of video servers (see figure 2); and a multimedia application server (28, 29) including a dedicated hardware and software subsystem responsively coupled to said temporary memory (array 23) which receives said request from said user, spools said video data into said temporary memory, and selects a particular one of said plurality of video servers to stream said video data from said temporary memory to said user in response to said user request (the controller servers 28, 29 selects one of the servers 21 to read the requested data from the disk array 23 and transmit the data to the client on network 25 – see col. 27, lines 25-30 and line 62 to col. 28, line 13 and figure 2).

Regarding claim 2, Duso teaches selecting said particular one of said plurality of video servers based upon said particular one of said plurality of video servers already having said video data loaded (i.e., selecting another stream server 21 to load data into the newly allocated RAM window in anticipation of client request for a selected movie – see col. 25, lines 3-26).

Regarding claim 3, Duso teaches selecting said particular one of said plurality of video servers based upon which of said plurality of video servers is least utilized (i.e., one of the stream servers 21 having the most resources for serving client requests is selected – see col. 24, line 61 to col. 25, line 2).

Regarding claim 4, Duso teaches selecting said particular one of said plurality of video servers based upon which of said plurality of video servers has sufficient unused storage space (i.e., the selected server 21 has sufficient resources to handle the client request. That includes the server having sufficient unused storage space or buffer memory capacity and sufficient bandwidth to handle the client request – see col. 24, lines 14-35; col. 23, lines 27-29).

Application/Control Number: 09/714,072

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claim 5, Duso teaches replacing a previous video program from said one of said plurality of video servers with said video data (i.e., new data are added to each window, and old data are remove from each window – see col. 23, lines 9-11).

Regarding claim 6, Duso teaches an apparatus comprising: a video program request generated by a user (54); a plurality of video servers (servers 21) each including a separate hardware and software subsystem and capable of supplying video data to said user (see figure 2; col. 5, line 48 to col. 6, line 14); a temporary memory (i.e., disk array 23) for storage of said video data responsively coupled to each of said plurality of video servers (see figure 2); and a multimedia application server (28, 29) including a dedicated hardware and software subsystem responsively coupled to said temporary memory (array 23) which receives said request from said user, spools said video data into said temporary memory, and selects a particular one of said plurality of video servers to stream said video data from said temporary memory to said user in response to said user request (the controller servers 28, 29 selects one of the servers 21 to read the requested data from the disk array 23 and transmit the data to the client on network 25 – see col. 27, lines 25-30 and line 62 to col. 28, line 13 and figure 2).

Regarding claim 7, Duso teaches selecting said particular one of said plurality of video servers based upon said particular one of said plurality of video servers already having said video data loaded (i.e., selecting another stream server 21 to load data into the newly allocated RAM window in anticipation of client request for a selected movie – see col. 25, lines 3-26).

Regarding claim 8, Duso teaches selecting said particular one of said plurality of video servers based upon which of said plurality of video servers is least utilized (i.e., one of the stream servers 21 having the most resources for serving client requests is selected – see col. 24, line 61 to col. 25, line 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/714,072 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claim 9, Duso teaches selecting said particular one of said plurality of video servers based upon which of said plurality of video servers has sufficient unused storage space (i.e., the selected server 21 has sufficient resources to handle the client request. That includes the server having sufficient unused storage space or buffer memory capacity and sufficient bandwidth to handle the client request – see col. 24, lines 14-35; col. 23, lines 27-29).

Regarding claim 10, Duso teaches directing said one of said plurality of video servers to swap said video program for an existing video program (i.e., new data are added to each window, and old data are remove from each window – see col. 23, lines 9-11).

Regarding claim 21, see rejection of claim 6 above.

Regarding claim 22, see rejection of claim 7 above.

Regarding claim 23, see rejection of claim 8 above.

Regarding claim 24, see rejection of claim 9 above.

Regarding claim 25, see rejection of claim 10 above.

#### Conclusion

6. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

## **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on		
(	Date)	

Application/Control Number: 09/714,072 Page 6

Art Unit: 2623

Signature:		
Registration Number: _		
Certificate of Trans	smission	
l hereby certify that this Trademark Office, Fax (Date)	s correspondence is being facsimile tran No. ( ) on	smitted to the United States Pat 
	of person signing this certificate:	

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc K. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-7306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/714,072

Art Unit: 2623

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ngoc K. Vu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2623

June 12, 2006